

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. P/2432-19 Α TRIANTAFYLLOU 10/26/98 09/178,840 **EXAMINER** IM52/1005 002352 SHERRER FABER GERB % SOFFEN PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT OSTROLENK 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10036-8403 1761 DATE MAILED: 10/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/178,840 Applicant(s)

Triantafyllou

Examiner

Curtis E. Sherrer

Art Unit 1761

	an the cover sheet with the corres	pondence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear E REPLY FILED Oct 2, 2001 FAILS TO PLACE of the prefere, further action by the applicant is required to a section under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appearance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appearance).	void the abandonment of this appli	ication. A proper in condition for
Swance; (2) a timely most CFR 1.114. CE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	REPLY [check only a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires months from b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, Of is later. In no event, however, will the statutory perior rejection. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determinate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated	the mailing date of the final rejection. (within two months as set forth in MPER Continues to run from the mailing date of the reply expire later than SIX MO The date on which the petition under 3 formining the period of extension and the from: (1) the expiration date of the sho if checked. Any reply received by the Continue of the second continue and the checked.	7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate corresponding amount of the fee. The rtened statutory period for reply originally office later than three months after the See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
mailing date of the this say	. Appellant's Brief must be the	of the appeal.
The proposed amendment(s) will be entered app	on the timely submission of a Notice	e of Appeal and Appeal Brief with
requisite fees. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered (a) they raise new issues that would require furth		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require to the (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NO (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application	TE below); in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
they are not deemed to place the application		
issues for appear, arteres	uling a corresponding number of the	itally rojoutes
(d) they present additional claims without cance	elling a corresponding number of hi	itally rojoutes
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	elling a corresponding number of hi	itally Tojococc
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the separate application in condition for allowance because application in condition for allowance because	rejection(s): ne non-allowable claim(s). quest for reconsideration has been e: imitations to existing dependent.	would be allowable if submitted in a considered but does NOT place the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following responsed or amended claim(s) separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the separate of application in condition for allowance because the newly proposed amendment would add life reducing the beta glucanase activity has not the settidovit or exhibit will NOT be considered.	rejection(s): ne non-allowable claim(s). quest for reconsideration has been been previously searched. id because it is not directed SOLEL	would be allowable if submitted in a considered but does NOT place the further, the broad limitation of
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following response timely filed amendment cancelling the separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the application in condition for allowance because the newly proposed amendment would add life to reducing the beta glucanase activity has not the affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere by the Examiner in the final rejection.	rejection(s): ne non-allowable claim(s). quest for reconsideration has been simitations to existing dependent. In been previously searched. In the decause it is not directed SOLEL im(s) is as follows (see attached well as the searched with the searched well as the searched with the search searched with the search se	would be allowable if submitted in a considered but does NOT place the further, the broad limitation of Y to issues which were newly raise written explanation, if any):
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following response timely filed amendment cancelling the separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the application in condition for allowance because the newly proposed amendment would add life reducing the beta glucanase activity has not the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) allowed: None	rejection(s): ne non-allowable claim(s). quest for reconsideration has been elimitations to existing dependent, in been previously searched. ed because it is not directed SOLEL im(s) is as follows (see attached w	would be allowable if submitted in a considered but does NOT place the further, the broad limitation of Y to issues which were newly raise written explanation, if any):
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following respectively proposed or amended claim(s) separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the separate in condition for allowance because the newly proposed amendment would add in reducing the beta glucanese activity has not by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) allowed: None	rejection(s): rejection(s): quest for reconsideration has been imitations to existing dependent. In been previously searched. In the properties of the pr	would be allowable if submitted in a considered but does NOT place the further, the broad limitation of Y to issues which were newly raise written explanation, if any):